# Spin-s Rational Q-system



(5)

based on: 2303.07640v2

# Jue Hou<sup>1</sup>, Yunfeng Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Rui-Dong Zhu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of physics & Shing-Tung Yau Center, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, P. R. China <sup>2</sup>Institute for Advanced Study & School of Physical Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, P. R. China

#### Abstract

Bethe ansatz equations for spin-s XXX spin chain (XXX<sub>s</sub> chain) with  $s \geq 1$ are significantly more difficult to analyze than the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  case, due to the presence of repeated roots. As a result, it is challenging to derive extra conditions for the Bethe roots to be physical and study the related completeness problem.

*QQ*-relations

$$Q_{a,b+1}Q_{a+1,b} = Q_{a,b}^+Q_{a+1,b+1}^- - Q_{a,b}^-Q_{a+1,b+1}^+ \,.$$

**Boundary conditions** 

$$egin{aligned} Q_{2,b} &= 1, \qquad b = 0, 1, \dots, M\,, \ Q_{1,0} &= Q(u), \end{aligned}$$

In our paper[1], we propose the rational Q-system for the XXX<sub>s</sub> chain, which gives all and only physical solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations(BAEs), and the extra conditions for solutions to be physical. Then we prove the completeness of spin-s Bethe ansatz rigorously.

### I Introduction

The BAEs for the XXX<sub>s</sub> chain of length L with M magnons read

$$\left(\frac{u_j+is}{u_j-is}\right)^L = \prod_{k\neq j}^M \frac{u_j-u_k+i}{u_j-u_k-i}, \qquad j=1,\ldots,M.$$
(1)

There are two types of solutions which need special care. One is the solutions with repeated roots and the other are the so-called singular solutions. The repeated solutions can take the following form

$$\{\underbrace{u_1,\ldots,u_1}_{n_1},\underbrace{u_2,\ldots,u_2}_{n_2},\ldots,\underbrace{u_M,\ldots,u_M}_{n_M}\}$$
(2)

A generic singular solution can take the following form

$$\{(is)^{m_0}, (i(s-1))^{m_1}, \dots, (-is)^{m_{2s}}, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{M_r}\}$$
(3)

where  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{M_r}\}$  are the regular roots. Not all of them are physical, which can be derived by algebraic Bethe ansatz. To get physical solutions, we need other constraints besides BAEs.

 $Q_{0,0}=q_lpha(u).$ 

**Solve the Q-system** We make the usual ansatz

$$Q(u) = u^{M} + \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} c_{k} u^{k}.$$
(7)

We then require  $Q_{0,1}/\alpha(u)$  and  $Q_{0,2s+1}$  to be polynomials.

Here,  $F^{\pm}(u) \equiv F(u \pm \frac{i}{2}), q_{\alpha}(u) \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{2s-1} (u - i(s - k - \frac{1}{2}))^{L}$ , and  $\alpha(u) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{2s-1} (u - i(s - k))^L$ .  $c_k$ s are undetermined constants and we will obtain them by solving the Q-system.

## **III Completeness of spin-***s* **Bethe ansatz**

All physical Bethe vectors span the Hilbert space. The expected number of physical solutions for a spin-s L-site chain with M magnons is given by [2]

$$\mathcal{N}_{s}(L,M) = c_{s}(L,M) - c_{s}(L,M-1),$$
 (8)

with

$$c_s(L;M) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{L+M-1}{2s+1} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{L}{j} \binom{L+M-1-(2s+1)j}{L-1}.$$
 (9)

The TQ-relation method can eliminate non-physical repeated solutions automatically. Baxter's TQ-relation reads

$$\tau(u)Q(u) = (u + \frac{i}{2})^L Q(u - i) + (u - \frac{i}{2})^L Q(u + i), \qquad (4)$$

where  $\tau(u), Q(u)$  are polynomials. The zeros of Q(u) are the Bethe roots  $\{u_k\}$ . The polynomiality of  $\tau(u)$  imposes extra constraints for the Bethe roots. The Q-system method can eliminate non-physical singular solutions automatically.



Figure 1: Relations between BAE, TQ and rational Q-system.

## **II** Spin-s rational Q-system

To construct the rational Q-system, we need the following ingredients **1. A Young diagram on which we define** *Q***-functions;** 

#### **Numerical Checks.**

	$ \mathcal{N}_{ ext{phys}} $
L = 3, M = 1, s = 1	2
L = 3, M = 2, s = 1	3
L = 3, M = 3, s = 1	1
L = 6, M = 4, s = 1	40
L = 6, M = 5, s = 1	36
L = 6, M = 6, s = 1	15
L = 9, M = 1, s = 1	8
L = 9, M = 2, s = 1	36
L = 9, M = 3, s = 1	111
L = 9, M = 4, s = 1	258
L = 12, M = 3, s = 1	274
L = 12, M = 4, s = 1	869

	$\mathcal{N}_{ ext{phys}}$
$L = 8, M = 4, s = rac{3}{2}$	202
$L = 8, M = 6, s = \frac{3}{2}$	700
$L = 9, M = 4, s = \frac{3}{2}$	321
$L = 10, M = 4, s = \frac{3}{2}$	485
L=6, M=5, s=2	120
L = 6, M = 6, s = 2	180
L = 7, M = 5, s = 2	245
L=7,M=6,s=2	420

**Table 1:**  $\mathcal{N}_{phys}$  denotes the number of all physical solutions obtained from the Q-system of a spin-s L-site chain with Mmagnons.

#### **Rigorously Proof.**

In [3], authors prove if the difference equation (4) about Q(u) has two polynomial solutions Q(u), P(u) such that deg(Q) < deg(P), then the completeness of spin-s Bethe ansatz is valid. In our paper [1], we prove for XXX<sub>s</sub> chain, the above premise is valid.

Please read our paper for more details.

## Conclusions

2. QQ-relations which relates the four Q-functions defined on each box;

**3.** Boundary conditions which specify the Q-functions partially or completely on the left and upper boundary of the Young diagram.

**Young diagram** The Young diagram has two rows and is given by (2sL - M, M). On each node, we define a function  $Q_{a,b}(u)$ ,



Figure 2: Rational Q-system for XXX<sub>s</sub> spin chain.

1. We propose the rational Q-system for spin-s XXX spin chain, which gives all and only physical solutions. Furthermore, the additional constraints for singular and repeated solutions are derived (see [1]).

2. The completeness of spin-s Bethe ansatz is tested numerically and proved rigorously.

#### References

[1] J. Hou, Y. Jiang and R. D. Zhu, Spin-s Rational Q-system, [arXiv:2303.07640]. [2] A. N. Kirillov, Combinatorial identities, and completeness of eigenstates of the Heisenberg magnet, Journal of Soviet Mathematics 30 no. 4, (1985) 2298–2310.

[3] V. Tarasov, Completeness of the Bethe ansatz for the periodic isotropic Heisenberg model, *Rev.* Math. Phys. 30 (2018) 1840018.