## Cosmology from LoTSS DR2

Jinglan Zheng On behalf of the LOFAR surveys KSP cosmology team

SKA Cosmology SWG 2023

SKA Cosmology SWG 2023

jzheng@physik.uni-bielefeld.de 1



SKA Cosmology SWG 2023







SKA Cosmology SWG 2023



cosmic web

radio sources

Projects: I. radio stats II. radio – radio III. radio – CMB IV. radio – optical V. Joint

optical sources



SKA Cosmology SWG 2023

lensing

ESA and the Planck Collaboration









### I. Count-in-cell statistics (Pashapouramadabadi, Siewert et al.)

- Cosmological principle: isotropic sky
- Naïve expectation: **Poisson distribution** of radio sources
- But: noise fluctuations, multi-component sources, artifacts, ...

- Prepare homogeneous sample from LoTSS-DR2 source catalogue
  - 1. identify survey region
  - 2. mask incomplete and/or noisy regions
     3. apply flux density threshold
- Several masking strategies

**our default:** stay away from survey borders and galactic plane

• Look at counts-in-cell statistics: about 100.000 Healpix cells with Nside = 256

### I. Count-in-cell statistics (Pashapouramadabadi, Siewert et al.)

- Compare LoTSS-DR2 count-in-cell distribution with Poisson, compound Poisson, and negative binomial distributions:
   Cox processes, i.e.
   multiple components, fit
   better than Poisson
   process
- confirms LoTSS-DR1 analysis (Siewert et al. 2019)



# I. Redshift distribution from LoTSS Deep-DR1 (Bhardwaj et al.)

To compare cosmological models: estimate redshift of radio sources

Models or measurements from photo-z posteriors in LoTSS Deep-DR1



#### II. Auto-correlation (Hale et al.)









Senerate Mock Random Sources across the field, aking into account:

#### Expected flux density distribution

Using simulated catalogues from Wilman+ 2008

<u>Completeness</u> due to sensitivity variation and source finder detection

Using simulations for each pointing from Shimwell+ 2022

 Differences between <u>measured</u> and <u>intrinsic</u> fluxes to account for Eddington and source finder bias

Using simulations for each pointing from Shimwell+ 2022

Source size distribution to account for resolution

bias

Using simulated catalogues from Wilman+ 2008

Smearing across the field of view

#### II. Auto-correlation (Hale et al.)

Angular Clustering of LoTSS Sources with SNR>7.5 and Flux density > 1.5 mJy



Compute angular <u>two-point correlation</u> <u>function</u> (TPCF):

- Excess probability to detect galaxies in given angular separations compared to randomly distributed sources\*
- Allows us to understand <u>large scale</u> <u>structure</u>
- Combine with redshift information to understand <u>bias</u>
- Bias describes <u>clustering compared to dark</u> <u>matter</u> and can infer dark matter halo mass

\* Using randoms described earlier

Work makes use of PyCCL: Chisari+2019

#### II. Auto-correlation (Hale et al.)

**Evolution of Bias of LoTSS Sources with SNR>7.5 and Flux density > 1.5 mJy** 



Different colours = Using different  $\theta_{Min}$  in the fitting

Fit using pyCCL assuming a linear model.

Redshift distribution from LOFAR deep fields

## III. Cross-correlation with CMB lensing and <sup>Work in Progress</sup> temperature (Nakoneczny et al. see also next talk



- cross-correlation with CMB lensing: 1.5 mJy, 7.5 SNR, 22 sigma detection
- **Right**: bias constraints: fit to C\_gg + C\_gk + deep fields + <u>tomographer</u> (tomographer.org)

#### IV. Cross-correlating with eBOSS(Zheng et al.)



#### Measurements: BAO and bias

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations : the 'shift' parameter of wiggles, indicates **the first BAO detection of radio continuum surveys** 

$$lpha = \ell_{
m obs}/\ell_{
m fid} = [D_A(z)/r_s]_{
m obs}/[D_A(z)/r_s]_{
m fid}$$







#### V. Joint constraints (Heneka et al.)

We combine:

- Angular galaxy clustering 'TPCF' Redshift distribution pz
- Cross-correlation with CMB lensing 'gk'
- Cross-correlation with eBOSS 'xeBOSS'

#### ➡ We find:

w consistent with LCDM (constrained at about 10% level)

 $\sigma_8$  (constrained at few % level) consistent with CMB

Bias b (10% level) remains consistent wrt fixed cosmology

Competitive constraints with LOFAR radio survey data for astrophysics & cosmology jointly varied



• Dark energy EOS w



### VI. Cosmic radio dipole (Böhme, Tiwari et al.)

- Proper motion of Solar system
   ⇒ kinematic dipole (Ellis & Baldwin 1984)
- Compare dipoles at different frequencies: test cosmological principle, but
- different, contradicting measurements in radio (see e.g. Blake & Wall 2002, Singal 2011, Rubart & Schwarz 2013, Nusser & Tiwari 2015, Bengaly et al. 2018, Siewert et al. 2021)
- Simulations: Large signals (d > 0.01) can be detected at high significance from LoTSS-DR2, despite biases due to limited sky coverage
- Now: Test TGSS radio dipole
   Future: Dipole measurement with LoTSS-DR3



Frequency [MHz]

SKA Cosmology SWG 2023

#### VI. Cosmic radio dipole (Böhme, Tiwari et al.)

- Use LoTSS-DR2 to test TGSS dipole (e.g. Bengaly et al. 2018, Siewert et al. 2021)
- LoTSS-DR2 radio dipole rules out TGSS excess, but
- consistent with excess dipoles measured at higher radio frequencies



#### Summary

- LoTSS DR2, is indeed capable of measuring cosmological parameters!
- DR1: able to reproduce expectations from cosmology
- DR2: able to present measurements of cosmological parameters; detect physically effects at high statistical significance, e.g. lensing x gal

#### Outlook

- full data release e.g. DR3
- improve all precisions at least
   3 times as much as the statistics for now