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## The Tully-Fisher at $z=0$

Ponomareva+ 2017


Extremely tight Mbar — V ${ }_{\text {flat }}$ relation suits for cosmological models

## Smallest vertical scatter

$$
\mathrm{IR}-\mathrm{W}_{50}
$$

suits for distance measurements


## Distances

Cosmicflows $1,2,3,4 \&$ to be continued..
(Tully+ 2008, 2013, 2016; Kourkchi+ 2020)

$$
H_{0}=75.1
$$

The Catalog of $\sim 10000$ Tully-Fisher Distances up to $15000 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$



Kourkchi+ 2020b

Calibration on 14 clusters in 7 photometrical bands

## Distances ASKAP

WALLABY (ASKAP): the Tully Fisher Relation in Eridanus, Hydra, Norma and NGC4636 fields



Expected: $1 / 2$ million galaxies <z> $=0.05$ ( 200 Mpc ) 200,000 Tully-Fisher distances



Courtois+ 2022

## The Tully-Fisher at z = 0: Largest Sample

## Automated ALFALFA Baryonic Tully-Fisher Relation




Full sample 31500+ Calibration sample 4500+

## The Tully-Fisher at $\mathrm{z}=0$ : Outliers




## The Tully-Fisher at $z=0$ : Golden Standard








## What about the TFr @ z > 0?

CO TFr @ z=0.05-0.3 : No evolution
Optical TFr @ z=1.3: Evolution


Topal+ 2018


Lorenzo+ 2018

## What about the TFr @ z > 0?

Optical TFr @ z=0.9 \& z= 2.3: Evolution of the ZP only



Übler+ 2018

## The HI TFr @ z > 0

MIGHTEE-HI bTFr up to $\mathrm{z}=0.083$


No evolution up to $z \sim 0.2$


Gogate+ 2022

## HI TFr beyond z = 0: Challenges


$z=0.06$
$\mathrm{MHI}=1.8 \times 10^{9}$ 3 beams across




Maddox+ 2021

Not achievable with pathfinders

Ponomareva+ 2021

## 3D kinematic modelling: Inclinations

Fully automated: no initial guess for the fitting


HI inclination offered as initial guess


Need to provide initial estimate of inclination to 3DBarolo to get accurate resolved kinematics

