# **CONSERVATIVE AND DISSIPATIVE TWO-BODY DYNAMICS FROM** THE EIKONAL OPERATOR

Paolo Di Vecchia<sup>1,2</sup>, Carlo Heissenberg<sup>3,2</sup>, Rodolfo Russo<sup>4</sup> and Gabriele Veneziano<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Niels Bohr Institute <sup>2</sup>NORDITA <sup>3</sup>Uppsala University <sup>4</sup>QMUL <sup>5</sup>CERN <sup>6</sup>Collège de France



### **Motivations**

The eikonal exponentiation resums contributions to the  $2 \rightarrow 2$  amplitude due to many graviton exchanges

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(b) = \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} 2\pi \delta(2p_1 \cdot q) 2\pi \delta(2p_2 \cdot q) e^{ib \cdot q} \mathcal{M}(q^2) ,$  $1 + i\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(b) = e^{2i\delta(b)}$ .

Combining  $2i\delta$  with coherent graviton emissions encoded in the  $2 \rightarrow 3$  amplitude,

### **Static Modes**

Angular momentum of the static gravitational field [10, 11],

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha\beta} = -i \int_{k} \left( k_{[\alpha} F^* \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial k^{\beta]}} F + 2F^*_{\mu[\alpha} F^{\mu}_{\beta]} \right) \\ = -\sum_{n=1,2} \sum_{m=3,4} c_{nm} p_n^{[\alpha} p_m^{\beta]}$$

so that in the center-of-mass frame [6, 10, 11]

#### **Radiative Modes**

In this way, we recover [13, 14]  $\boldsymbol{P}^{lpha} = rac{G^3 m_1^2 m_2^2}{m{h}^3} \left( \check{u}_1^{\mu} + \check{u}_2^{\mu} 
ight) \mathcal{E} \,, \qquad \boldsymbol{Q}^{lpha}_{(1)} = -rac{G^3 m_1^2 m_2^2}{m{h}^3} \,\check{u}_2^{lpha} \, \mathcal{E} \,.$ Denoting  $C = \frac{-\mathcal{E}_+ + \sigma \mathcal{E}_-}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_+ - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E}_- + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}$ , in a frame where  $b_1 + b_2 = 0$ , we also recover [10]  $oldsymbol{J}^{lphaeta}=rac{G^3m_1^2m_2^2}{b^3}\mathcal{F}\left(b^{[lpha}\check{u}_1^{eta]}-b^{[lpha}\check{u}_2^{eta]}
ight),$ 

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mu\nu}(b_1, b_2, k) \simeq \int \frac{d^D q_1}{(2\pi)^D} 2\pi \delta(2p_1 \cdot q_1) 2\pi \delta(2p_2 \cdot q_2) \\ \times e^{ib_1 \cdot q_1 + ib_2 \cdot q_2} \mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}(q_1, q_2, k) ,$$

the eikonal operator dictates the collision's final state. In this way, it provides a unified formalism to calculate classical observables associated to the collision's asymptotic states from scattering amplitudes [1, 2].



# **Conservative and Dissipative Effects in the 2-Body Problem**

•  $P^{\alpha} = \mathbf{P}^{\alpha}$ : energy-momentum of the gravitational field after the collision,

•  $Q_i^{\alpha} = \mathcal{Q}_{(i)}^{\alpha} + \mathcal{Q}_{(i)}^{\alpha} + Q_{(i)c}^{\alpha}$ : momentum variation (*impulse*) of particle i (for i = 1, 2),

- $J^{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{J}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{J}^{\alpha\beta}$ : angular momentum of the gravitational field after the collision,
- $\Delta L_{(i)}^{\alpha\beta} = \Delta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{\alpha\beta} + \Delta \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{\alpha\beta} + \Delta L_{(i)c}^{\alpha\beta}$ : angular momentum

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}^{yz}}{bp} = G(Q_{1\mathsf{PM}} + Q_{2\mathsf{PM}}) \mathcal{I}(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}(G^4) \,.$$
  
Static part of the radiation-reaction impulse,
$$\mathcal{Q}^{\alpha}_{(\alpha)} = \operatorname{Im} \int F^* \frac{\partial F}{\partial f} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{Q}^2}{\partial f} \mathcal{Q}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{(1)}^{\alpha} &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{k} F^{*} \frac{\partial F}{\partial b_{1}^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial b_{\alpha}} \mathcal{G} \\ &= -\frac{b^{\alpha}}{4b^{2}} G Q_{1\mathsf{PM}} (2Q_{1\mathsf{PM}} + 3Q_{2\mathsf{PM}}) \mathcal{I}(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}(G^{5}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Static contribution to the mechanical angular momentum or particle 1,

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{Im} \int_{k} F^{*} p_{4[\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{4}^{\beta]}} + b_{1}^{[\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_{(1)}^{\beta]}$$

Defining

$$2\eta_m J_{(m)}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\eta_n = -\eta_m} c_{nm} p_n^{[\alpha} p_m^{\beta]} - \sum_{\substack{\eta_n = \eta_m \\ n \neq m}} d_{nm} p_n^{[\alpha} p_m^{\beta]}$$

we find the following result,

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} = J_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} + J_{(4)}^{\alpha\beta} + b_1^{[\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_{(1)}^{\beta]}$$

Balance laws:

$$\mathcal{J}^{\alpha\beta} + \Delta \mathcal{L}^{\alpha\beta}_{(1)} + \Delta \mathcal{L}^{\alpha\beta}_{(2)} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{Q}_{(1)} + \mathcal{Q}_{(2)} = 0.$$



and we obtain

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{L}_{(1)}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{G^3 m_1^2 m_2^2}{b^3} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{E}_+ b^{[\alpha} u_1^{\beta]}}{\sigma - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E} b^{[\alpha} \check{u}_2^{\beta]} \right].$$

#### Balance laws:

$$P^{\alpha} + Q^{\alpha}_{(1)} + Q^{\alpha}_{(2)} = 0, \qquad J^{\alpha\beta} + \Delta L^{\alpha\beta}_{(1)} + \Delta L^{\alpha\beta}_{(2)} = 0,$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\pi} = f_1 + f_2 \log \frac{\sigma + 1}{2} + f_3 \frac{\sigma \operatorname{arccosh} \sigma}{2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\pi} = g_1 + g_2 \log \frac{\sigma + 1}{2} + g_3 \frac{\sigma \operatorname{arccosh} \sigma}{2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}$$

# **Tidal Effects**

We include tidal effects by means of the  $2 \rightarrow 3$  amplitude in [17]

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathsf{tid}}^{\alpha} &= R_f \sum_{X} \frac{c_{X_1^2}}{m_1} \left( \mathcal{E}^X \check{u}_1^{\alpha} + \mathcal{F}^X \check{u}_2^{\alpha} \right) \\ \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathsf{tid}}^{\alpha\beta} &= R_f \sum_{X} \frac{c_{X_1^2}}{m_1} \left( \mathcal{C}^X b^{[\alpha} u_1^{\beta]} + \mathcal{D}^X u_2^{[\alpha} b^{\beta]} \right) \end{split}$$

where

#### • $R_f = 15\pi G^3 m_1^2 m_2^2 / (64 \, b^7)$ • X can be either E (electric/mass-type) or B (magnetic/current-type)

variation of particle i (for i = 1, 2).

In the following we calculate all such quantities to  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ precision and check that the balance laws hold separately for each of the three types of quantities.

#### **The Eikonal Operator**

We start from a state with two massive particles with impact parameter  $b = b_1 - b_2$  [3]

$$|\psi\rangle = \int_{-p_1} \int_{-p_2} \Phi_1(-p_1) \Phi_2(-p_2) e^{ip_1b_1 + ip_2b_2} |-p_1, -p_2\rangle.$$

The final state [4] is determined by the eikonal operator according to

$$S|\psi\rangle \simeq \int_{p_3,p_4} e^{-ib_1 \cdot p_4 - ib_2 \cdot p_3} |p_4, p_3\rangle \times \int \frac{d^D Q_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D Q_2}{(2\pi)^D} \Phi_1(p_4 - Q_1) \Phi_2(p_3 - Q_2) \times \int d^D x_1 \int d^D x_2 \ e^{i(b_1 - x_1) \cdot Q_1 + i(b_2 - x_2) \cdot Q_2} e^{2i\hat{\delta}(x_1, x_2)} |0\rangle .$$

Letting  $b_e$  denote the projection of  $x = x_1 - x_2$  orthogonal to  $p_i + (-)^{i\frac{1}{2}}Q$  as in [4],

 $e^{2i\hat{\delta}(x_1,x_2)} = e^{2i\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{b}_e)}e^{\int_k \theta(\omega^* - k^0) \left[f_j a_j(k)^{\dagger} - f_j^*(k)a_k(k)\right]}$  $\times e^{i \int_{k} \theta(k^{0} - \omega^{*}) \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j}(x_{1}, x_{2}, k) a_{j}^{\dagger}(k) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j}^{*}(x_{1}, x_{2}, k) a_{j}(k) \right]}$ 

$$c_{nm} = 2G \left[ \left( \sigma_{nm}^{-} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\sigma_{nm}^{2} - 1}{\sigma_{nm}^{2} - 1} - 2\sigma_{nm} \Delta_{nm} \right]$$

$$d_{nm} = 2G \frac{\sigma_{nm}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}}{\sigma_{nm}^{2} - 1},$$

$$2\mathcal{G} = c_{14} + c_{23} - 2c_{13}, \qquad 2a_{0} = c_{13} + d_{12},$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{I}(\sigma) = \frac{8 - 5\sigma^{2}}{3(\sigma^{2} - 1)} + \frac{\sigma(2\sigma^{2} - 3)\operatorname{arccosh}\sigma}{(\sigma^{2} - 1)^{3/2}}.$$

## **Reverse Unitarity**

For the observables

$$oldsymbol{P}^{lpha} = \int_k \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \, k^{lpha} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^* \,, \qquad oldsymbol{Q}_{(i)lpha} = \operatorname{Im} \int_k rac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{A}}}{\partial b_i^{lpha}} \, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^* \,,$$

we can apply reverse unitarity [12, 13] via

• 
$$\mathcal{E}^{X}$$
 stands for  

$$\mathcal{E}^{X} = f_{1}^{X} + f_{2}^{X} \log \frac{\sigma + 1}{2} + f_{3}^{X} \frac{\sigma \operatorname{arccosh} \sigma}{2\sqrt{\sigma^{2} - 1}}$$
with  $f_{3}^{X} = -(\sigma^{2} - \frac{3}{2}) f_{2}^{X}/(\sigma^{2} - 1)$  (and so on)  
Nonrelativistic limit,  $\sigma = \sqrt{1 + p_{\infty}^{2}}$  and  $p_{\infty} \to 0$ ,  
 $\mathcal{C}^{E} = \frac{1056}{5} p_{\infty} - \frac{349}{35} p_{\infty}^{3} + \mathcal{O}(p_{\infty}^{5})$   
 $\mathcal{D}^{E} = \frac{1056}{5} p_{\infty} - \frac{324}{7} p_{\infty}^{3} + \mathcal{O}(p_{\infty}^{5})$   
 $\mathcal{C}^{B} = 40 p_{\infty}^{3} + \frac{3833}{35} p_{\infty}^{5} + \mathcal{O}(p_{\infty}^{7})$   
 $\mathcal{D}^{B} = -\frac{168}{5} p_{\infty}^{3} + \frac{1471}{10} p_{\infty}^{5} + \mathcal{O}(p_{\infty}^{7})$ .

This offers a cross-check of the result when compared with the energy or angular momentum obtained integrating the small- $p_{\infty}$  expansion of the  $2 \rightarrow p_{\infty}$ 3 amplitude.

#### Conclusions

We propose an eikonal operator and apply it to obtain conservative and radiative observables to  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ precision [1], including tidal effects [2].

#### References

- [1] Di Vecchia, Heissenberg, Russo, Veneziano [arXiv:2210.12118 [hep-th]].
- [2] Heissenberg [arXiv:2210.15689 [hep-th]]

where  $f_i(k) = \varepsilon_i^{*\mu\nu}(k) F_{\mu\nu}(k)$  with

 $F^{\mu\nu}(k) = \sum \frac{\sqrt{8\pi G} \, p_n^{\mu} p_n^{\nu}}{p_n \cdot k - i0} \,.$ 

The phase  $2\delta$  does does not contain the radiationreaction terms [5, 6, 7, 8, 9],

 $\operatorname{Re} 2\delta_2(\tilde{b}_e) = 2\tilde{\delta}_2(\tilde{b}_e) + \frac{1}{4}G Q_{1\mathsf{PM}}^2 \mathcal{I}(\sigma) \,.$ 

The saddle-point conditions impose  $Q_1 = p_1 + p_4$  and  $Q_2 = p_2 + p_3$  with





we need to take into account the action of the derivatives on the  $\delta$  functions via e.g.



where  $q_{\parallel 2} = -u_2 \cdot q$ , and similarly for  $oldsymbol{J}_{(i)}^{lpha}$ .

[3] Kosower, Maybee and O'Connell, JHEP **02** (2019), 137 [arXiv:1811.10950].

[4] Cristofoli, Gonzo, Moynihan, O'Connell, Ross, Sergola, White [arXiv:2112.07556].

[5] Di Vecchia, Heissenberg, Russo, Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 811 (2020), 135924 [arXiv:2008.12743].

[6] Damour, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020), 124008 [arXiv:2010.01641].

[7] Di Vecchia, Heissenberg, Russo, Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 818 (2021), 136379 [arXiv:2101.05772].

[8] Di Vecchia, Heissenberg, Russo, Veneziano, JHEP 07 (2021), 169 [arXiv:2104.03256].

[9] Di Vecchia, Heissenberg, Russo, Veneziano, JHEP 07 (2022), 039 [arXiv:2204.02378].

[10] Manohar, Ridgway, Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) no.12, 121601 [arXiv:2203.04283 [hep-th]].

[11] Di Vecchia, Heissenberg, Russo, JHEP 08 (2022), 172 [arXiv:2203.11915 [hep-th]].

[12] Anastasiou, Melnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 646 (2002), 220-256 [arXiv:hep-ph/0207004].

[13] Herrmann, Parra-Martinez, Ruf, Zeng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021), 201602 [arXiv:2101.07255].

[14] Herrmann, Parra-Martinez, Ruf, Zeng, JHEP 10 (2021), 148 [arXiv:2104.03957].

[15] Bini, Damour, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012), 124012 [arXiv:1210.2834].

[16] Bini, Damour, Geralico, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021), 084031 [arXiv:2107.08896].

[17] Mougiakakos, Riva, Vernizzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 121101 [arXiv:2204.06556] [hep-th]].