Towards a Universal Decomposition of Phase-Space Integrands

David A. Kosower Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA–Saclay work with Ben Page (CERN), [2211.14156] QCD Meets Gravity 2022 in Zurich— Dec 15, 2022

Taming Jets' Hair

- Jet differential cross sections are the finest-grained observables at modern colliders
- LO each jet modeled by a lone parton
- NLO virtual: same; IR divergences in loop integrals — real-emission, some jets modeled by pair, IR divergences in phase-space integrals
- NNLO double-virtual: lone parton, IR divs in loop integrals
 - mixed: mixed divergences
 - double-real: IR divergences in phase-space integrals
- Need to cancel divergences
- Ideally point-by-point in jet phase space

Current Approaches

- Cancel in physical observables (not point by point)
- Slicing virtual divergences known analytically
 - separate singular regions (soft, collinear)
 - integrate analytically
 - integrate numerically in hard regions

- Subtraction singular behavior known analytically
 - subtract it everywhere, resulting integral finite
 - integrate singular functions analytically

Catani & Seymour; Frixione, Kunszt, & Signer; Nagy & Soper; Bevilacqua, Czakon, Kubocz, & Worek

• NNLO – generalizations of subtraction

Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, & Glover; Weinzierl; Del Duca, Duhr, Kardos, Somogyi, Szőr, Trócsányi, & Tulipánt; Czakon; Magnea, Maina, Pelliccioli, Signorile-Signorile, Torrielli, & Uccirati – hybrid schemes

Stewart, Tackmann, & Waalewijn; Catani & Grazzini

• Complicated and not yet fully general

Giele & Glover; Giele, Glover, & DAK

Framework

- Virtual @ NLO: *n* partons \rightarrow *n* (proto)jets
- Real Emission @ NLO: n + 1 partons $\rightarrow n$ (proto)jets
- Do phase-space integral exactly in $D = 4 2\epsilon$
 - Mixture of analytic and numerical
- Want to align phase spaces, expose analogy
- Reexpress partons in terms of protojets

 $\hat{k}_i = \hat{k}_i (\{k_j\}^{n+1})$ $k_r = k_r (\{k_j\}^{n+1})$

• Factorize phase space (exact)

 $d\operatorname{LIPS}_{n+1}^{D}\left(K; \{k_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}\right) = d\operatorname{LIPS}_{n}^{D}\left(\{\hat{k}_i\}\right) d\operatorname{LIPS}_{s}^{D}(k_r) \operatorname{Jac}$

Vision of the Destination

If you don't know where you're going, you'll end up someplace else. — Yogi Berra

- Master-integral decomposition of multi-emission phasespace integrals
- Master-integral decomposition of single-emission phasespace integrals
- Decomposition of single-emission phase-space integrands: squares of tree amplitudes

 $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$

A thousand-mile journey begins with a single step Paraphrase of Laozi (between 2300 – 2500 yrs ago)

- Decomposition of tree amplitudes with one emission
- Suitable mapping to isolate emission
 "theoretical" jet algorithm
- Classification of amplitudes
- Computational algebraic geometry

Decomposition of One-Loop Integrands

- First: integrands with trivial numerators
- Integrand of hexagon
- External momenta strictly in D = 4
- Use Gram determinants

$$G\binom{p_1,\ldots,p_m}{q_1,\ldots,q_m} = \det_{i,j} \left(2p_i \cdot q_j \right).$$

Scalar Hexagon Decomposition

- Six denominators $D_j = (\ell K_{1,j})^2$
- Write a Gram identity

$$0 = G\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \ell, & k_1, & \dots, & k_4\\ k_5, & k_1, & \dots, & k_4 \end{array}\right) = \omega_j D_j + \omega_0$$

• And put it over the denominator

$$\frac{\omega_0}{D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_5 D_6} = -\sum_{j=1}^6 \frac{\omega_j}{D_1 \cdots \mathcal{N}_{j}} \cdots D_6$$

New Lyrics to an Old Melody

like the Lichtenstein National Anthem

- Look at singularities on both sides of the decomposition
- Both are singular when any D_j vanishes
- What happens when all D_j vanish simultaneously?
 - Left-hand side (6 powers) appears more singular than righthand side (5 powers)
 - Consistent only if all D_j cannot vanish simultaneously
 - Obstruction must be dependent on external momenta in D = 4

Inconsistency of Equations

• Need to show that simultaneous equations

$$D_j = 0$$
 $(j = 1, ..., 6),$ $G\begin{pmatrix} \ell, k_1, ..., k_4\\ k_5, k_1, ..., k_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$
have no solution

- Use computational algebraic geometry
- Show the ideal generated by these polynomials is the unit ideal (1): compute the Gröbner basis
- Cofactor matrix would give coefficients $c_j D_j + c_0 G = 1$

Inverse Antenna Mapping

- Antenna mapping: maps partons → protojets
- Three recombining momenta yielding two massless protojets
- Want to map protojets \rightarrow partons, so that we can write
 - original partons as f(protojets, real emission)

$$\begin{split} k_{i} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \tau(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) w_{+}(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) \right) k_{\hat{a}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \tau(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) w_{\lambda}(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) \right) k_{r} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \tau(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) w_{-}(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) \right) k_{\hat{b}} , \\ k_{j} &= k_{r} , \\ k_{k} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \tau(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) w_{+}(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) \right) k_{\hat{a}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \tau(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) w_{\lambda}(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) \right) k_{r} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \tau(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) w_{-}(s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}) \right) k_{\hat{b}} \end{split}$$

• Ultimately, functions of τ , $\hat{\lambda}$, $s_{\hat{a}r}$, $s_{r\hat{b}}$, s_{r1} , s_{r2}



- Contribution $\frac{1}{s_{j3}s_{j34}s_{j345}s_{j1345}s_{j12345}}$
- $S_1 = s_{j3}, S_2 = s_{j34}, S_3 = s_{j345}, S_4 = s_{j1345}, S_5 = s_{j12345}$
- Build a Gram $G\begin{pmatrix}k_j, k_1, \ldots, k_4\\k_5, k_1, \ldots, k_4\end{pmatrix}$
- It gives a similar decomposition

$$\frac{\omega_0^r}{S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4 S_5} = -\sum_{j=1}^5 \frac{\omega_j^r}{S_1 \cdots \aleph_j \cdots S_5}$$



- No simple identity as for the simple example
- Need to add functions imposing mapping constraints

$$0 = \frac{c_0}{T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 T_5} + \sum_{j=1}^5 \frac{c_j}{T_1 \cdots X_j \cdots T_5} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_z} \frac{\hat{c}_j Z_j}{T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 T_5}$$

- $T_1 = s_{i4}, T_2 = s_{j1}, T_3 = s_{i45}, T_4 = s_{j12}, T_5 = s_{j123}$
- Z_i vanish on physical configurations
- Too computationally difficult in standard variables

Better Variables & Finite Field Numerics

- Use finite-field momenta for $k_1, \dots, k_5, k_{\hat{a}}, k_{\hat{b}}$
- Variables $V = \{s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}, s_{r1}, s_{r2}, \tau, \hat{\lambda}\};$ s_{r3} &c. expressed numerically
- Gram constraints solved explicitly
- Form of τ , $\hat{\lambda}$ expressed by two constraints R_{τ} , $R_{\hat{\lambda}}$
- Ideal $\langle T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5, R_{\tau}, R_{\widehat{\lambda}} \rangle$
- Compute GröbnerBasis(B; V) using Singular $\Rightarrow \{1\}$
- No common solutions as desired

Coefficient Simplification

- Coefficients from cofactor matrix $\sum_i c_i B_i = 1$
- Not necessarily "simplest"
- Compute syzygies of *B*
- Compute Gröbner basis of syzygies
- Reduce cofactors against this Gröbner basis
- Can make coefficients independent of k_r , but not τ , $\hat{\lambda}$

Triskelia



a well-known triskelion

- Classify all possible contributions: focus on all possible arrangements of the three recombining partons
- Lines from each inwards will ultimately meet at a center
- Number and types of legs attached give different triskelia
- Twelve major classes, subclasses depending on masses



Survey

- Consider 1152 triskelia (after symmetries)
- All yield unit Gröbner basis
- In all cases, coefficients can be made independent of k_r
- Results independent of external masses

Numerators

- What about reduction of nontrivial numerators?
- In one-loop integrals, just ordinary partial fractioning
- In CAG,
- $v \mod \text{GröbnerBasis}(\{D_i\}_{i=1}^5; W_{\ell:4}) = \text{constant} \quad \forall v \in W_{\ell:4}$
- Analog for tree-level contributions
- $\begin{array}{ll} v \mod \text{GröbnerBasis}\left(\{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, R_{\tau}, R_{\hat{\lambda}}\}; V\right) = \text{Poly}(\tau, \hat{\lambda}) \\ \forall v \in \{s_{\hat{a}r}, s_{r\hat{b}}, s_{r1}, s_{r2}\} \end{array}$

Summary

- First step towards decomposing phase-space integrals into master integrals
- Recast one-loop integral reduction into language of computational algebraic geometry
- Generalizes to allow partial-fractioning of any cubic contribution to a tree-level scattering amplitude
- Finite basis of master integrals