

# Neutrino Physics and Machine Learning 2024 CNN for track reconstruction and PID in the new HA-TPCs of the T2K near detector

Anaëlle Chalumeau

NPML2024 - 27/06/2024

<u>git repo</u> technote

### Overview

#### T2K and its Near Detector ND280

- CNN for track reconstruction (the theory)
- CNN for track reconstruction (in practice)
- Results on momentum reconstruction
- Results on Particle IDentification



### Tokai-to-Kamioka



 T2K detects neutrinos at both the Near Detector ND280 and at the Far Detector SK to study neutrino oscillations



### Tokai-to-Kamioka



 T2K detects neutrinos at both the Near Detector ND280 and at the Far Detector SK to study neutrino oscillations

 Near Detector: measurement before oscillation of the beam spectrum and flavor composition



### Tokai-to-Kamioka



 T2K detects neutrinos at both the Near Detector ND280 and at the Far Detector SK to study neutrino oscillations

- Near Detector: measurement before oscillation of the beam spectrum and flavor composition
- Need precise measurement at ND280, e.g. to distinguish the  $v_{\rm e}$  bkdg from the  $v_{\mu}$  signal



### ND280 and its HA-TPCs







### ND280 and its HA-TPCs







### ND280 and its HA-TPCs



#### upgrade installed and taking data right now!







### ND280 and its HA-TPCs







Anaëlle Chalumeau - NPML2024 - 27/06/2024

ERAM module frame (anode)

cathode

### ND280 and its HA-TPCs









Anaëlle Chalumeau – NPML2024 – 27/06/2024

10

### ND280 and its HA-TPCs

LPNHE

TZK





Encapsulated Resistive Anode MicroMegas (ERAM) Mesh @ GND Amplification gap: ~128µm DLC @ ~ 360V FR4 PCB FR4 PCB



х

ND280



Anaëlle Chalumeau - NPML2024 - 27/06/2024

11

### Overview

- T2K and its Near Detector ND280
- CNN for track reconstruction (the theory)
- CNN for track reconstruction (in practice)
- Results on momentum reconstruction
- Results on Particle IDentification



#### **Convolutional Neural Network**

 Initial idea: use a CNN to extract particle momentum and PID from the detector "images" (assuming track ID and isolation)





#### **Convolutional Neural Network**

- Initial idea: use a CNN to extract particle momentum and PID from the detector "images" (assuming track ID and isolation)
  ResNet50 Model Architecture
- CNN choice: ResNet50





LPNHE

### **Convolutional Neural Network**

- Initial idea: use a CNN to extract particle momentum and PID from the detector "images" (assuming track ID and isolation)
  ResNet50 Model Architecture
- CNN choice: ResNet50

LPNHE

TZK





### **Convolutional Neural Network**

LPNHE

TZK

 Initial idea: use a CNN to extract particle momentum and PID from the detector "images" (assuming track ID and isolation)
ResNet50 Model Architecture



### CNN for track reconstruction (in practice)

#### Simulation used



#### 200 000 to 800 000 events generated



# CNN for track reconstruction (in practice)

Simulation used









#### 200 000 to 800 000 events generated

# CNN for track reconstruction (in practice) Pipeline developped

(1) convert ROOT data into readable python data







# CNN for track reconstruction (in practice) Pipeline developped

(1) convert ROOT data into readable python data







### CNN for track reconstruction (in practice)

#### Hyperparameters

• Loss function: Mean Square Error:

torch.nn.MSELoss()

• Optimizer: Adam = variant of Stochastic Gradient Descent:

optimizer = torch.optim.Adam

• Hyper-parameters:

-batch size: 64 -epochs: usually in [20,50] depending on data size -initial learning rate = 0.001 or 0.01 -learning rate patience = 3

(no HPO performed, just hand-tuned)

- Regularisation: target standardisation and dropout (0.5)
- Train/validation/test split: 70%/15%/15%



### **Overview**

- T2K and its Near Detector ND280
- CNN for track reconstruction (the theory)
- CNN for track reconstruction (in practice)
- Results on momentum reconstruction
- Results on Particle IDentification



### Results on momentum reconstruction

# larger angle range leads to worst resolution (more complexe/diverse data)

#### Training on different angular range



gaussian fits which extract  $\mu$ , $\sigma$ 



# Results on momentum reconstruction

#### Using 3 channels







### Results on momentum reconstruction

#### Compared to the standard reconstruction method

trained on [100-2200] MeV range **but** tested on [100-1500] MeV to get rid of border effect





### **Results on Particle IDentification**

(with a similar regression task, and not a classification one: prediction of the PDG code of the particles i.e. -11 or -13)

#### **Prediction distributions**





# **Results on Particle IDentification**

#### **Prediction distributions**

(with a similar regression task, and not a classification one: prediction of the PDG code of the particles i.e. -11 or -13)



101 $e$ + selection 11.3 < pag_code < -10.	for	e+	selection:		11.5	<	pdg.	_code	<	-10.5
--------------------------------------------	-----	----	------------	--	------	---	------	-------	---	-------

for  $\mu$ + selection:  $-13.5 < pdg_code < -11.5$ 



### **Results on Particle IDentification**

#### **Selection performance**

$$\begin{split} \text{eff} &= N_i^{\text{selected}} / N_i^{\text{generated}} \\ \text{pur} &= N_i^{\text{selected}} / \left( N_i^{\text{selected}} + N_j^{\text{selected}} \right) \end{split}$$





Anaëlle Chalumeau – NPML2024 – 27/06/2024

#### Simulated data from T2K ND280 HA-TPC have been reconstructed with a CNN

- we have demonstrated the feasibility of momentum reconstruction
  - we found a momentum resolution very similar to the standard reconstruction algorithm
  - **ς 8% momentun resolution at 1 GeV**
- we have shown **better PID performance** than the truncated mean method in use
- still many ways to improve: study edge effect, more features, different NN...







### Back-up

#### both trained on [100-2200]MeV

#### Edge effect





# Back-up ResNet50





# Back-up ResNet50

layer name output size		18-layer	34-layer	50-layer	101-layer	152-layer		
conv1	112×112			7×7, 64, stride 2				
				3×3 max pool, stride 2				
conv2_x	56×56	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3, 64\\ 3\times3, 64 \end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,64\\ 3\times3,64 \end{array}\right]\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$		
conv3_x	28×28	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,128\\3\times3,128\end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,128\\3\times3,128\end{array}\right]\times4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 8$		
conv4_x	14×14	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,256\\ 3\times3,256\end{array}\right]\times2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3,256\\3\times3,256\end{bmatrix}\times6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 23$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 36$		
conv5_x	7×7	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,512\\ 3\times3,512\end{array}\right]\times2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\times3,512\\ 3\times3,512\end{array}\right]\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$		
1×1		average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax						
FLO	OPs	$1.8 \times 10^{9}$	$3.6 \times 10^9$	$3.8 \times 10^9$	$7.6 \times 10^9$	$11.3 \times 10^{9}$		

